RECEIVED

AUG 1 9 2005

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Barry Gridley

340 Old Mill Rd. #93, Santa Barbara, California 93110

August 09, 2005 12:54 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY GRIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me — consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Barry Gridley

cc:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative Lois Capps FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd O Y | List A B C D E

RECEIVED

AUG 1 9 2005

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Shari Mildon

2005 AUC 11 D 2: 15

313 El Camino Lane, Placentia, California 92870-6208

August 09, 2005 01:59 PM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY CAIGNAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Shari Mildon

CC:

Senator Dianne Feinstein Senator Barbara Boxer Representative Ed Royce FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

> No. of Copies rec'd OY/ List ABCDE

RECEIVED

AUG 1 9 2005

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

WILLIAM WILSON

2002 ALLO LL CO 20.15

18090 NORTH OAK DRIVE, CLINTON TOWNSHIP, Michigan 48038

August 09, 2005 09:28 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY DAIGNEY

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USE Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

25 PML (1501) 167 PI

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal. Thank you.

cc: PCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

WILLIAM WILSON paraka a segregation of processing the same and a second of the second

Property of the property of t